



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJAEES_6560
Title of the Manuscript:	Food Security Determinants among Urban Food Crop Farming Households in Cross River State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	None	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The results show a linear positive relationship between age and food security. However, this relationship could actually be <u>curvilinear</u>. For instance is an 85 year old likely to be more food secure than a 45 year old? See eg Bashir, M.K., Schilizzi, S. and Pandit, R. 2012. The determinants of rural household food security: The Case of Landless Households of the Punjab, Pakistan, Working Paper 1208, School of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Australia. The authors should therefore indicate the age range of their study sample. 2. Provide reference for the statement in line 20 -22 3. Correct minor grammatical/typographical errors in lines 35, 58, 96, 103, 108, 146, 162, 307, 329, 360.	These corrections has been done.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In constructing the food security index (Z_i) you used per capita expenditure of the sampled households. If you worked with another sample whose mean per capita HH expenditure is less than 3,024.50 (the food security line in this study).... Say if you used a sample from a poorer state..... what would be the effect? Wouldn't the food security line drop? How does your calculated line compare with the "actual/ideal" food security line in the state? Such comparison will provide a clearer picture for policy intervention.	This data on 'actual/ideal' food security line for the state is not available.
---	---	---